United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,156	11/13/2001	Kelli H. Kennedy	10011462-1	5327	
7590 07/25/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER ·		
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration		QIN, YIXING		
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ,			2625		
•	•	•			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/054,156		KENNEDY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yixing Qin	2625	

		7.000				
	Yixing Qin	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	-				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	**	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS	·					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	J			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	<u>xt</u> be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief.	will not be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, , ,	•			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>Please see the attached office action.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13.		1 /	1			
•		15/1				
-		18/1				
TWYLER LAMB						
	CHDEDWOOD	DATELIT CLARACTE				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The main argument regarding claim 30 is that the Iwata reference does not disclose the application program launching the printing agent. In reading the applicant's specification and looking at the drawings, there were a couple of places which discusses the relationship of the application program with the printing agent. P[0040] of the applicant's specification states that "Next, in box 110 and identified in FIG. 2 as event C, the virtual driver 60 launches the printing agent 58. Therefore, the virtual driver 60 is used as a means to launch the printing agent 58. This arrangement is used to bypass algorithms contained in many operating systems 54 that may preclude the launching of the printing agent 58 directly from the application 56, especially after the print command is selected." Fig. 2, item C shows the virtual driver launching the print agent. Fig. 3, item 110 also shows the virtual driver launching the agent. Thus, the only reasonable interpretation that the Examiner can take is that the application launches the printing agent indirectly through the virtual print driver, which still indicates that the virtual print driver is the one launching the printing agent. If this interpretation is not regarded as reasonable, then the claim that the application program launches the printing agent would consist of new matter and would be subject to a 112 rejection.

In regards to the identification of the printing agent. The Examiner points to Fig. 35 as a printing agent, which the Examiner has pointed to in claims 2 and 31.

Regarding the 103 rejections, even if the network printers have been preconfigured in an application, they were queried at least once so that they could be configured.

Regarding the print menu launched from an application, Fig. 5 shows the sequence performed in windows explorer, which is still an application. Regardless, Fig. 13 shows that an application can launch a printing menu in which users can select various printers.

Regarding the selection of a single printer and downloading a file to facilitate the formatting of data to conform to that printer, the Iwata reference identifies a plurality of printers 60,70,80 to query prior to the downloading of the distributed printing utility 120. Among them, one is chosen. If the Iwata invention was only equipped with one printer, it would be similar to the Marbry setup. Thus, the combination of the reference is not improper as Iwata and Marbry simply teach differing setups.

The rejection stands.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yixing Qin whose telephone number is (571)272-7381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler Lamb can be reached on (571)272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TWYLER LAMB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER